

Letter

Signal Processing

Closed-form generalised power correlation coefficient of Ricean channels

José Ricardo Mendes*, Michel Daoud Yacoub and Daniel Benevides da Costa

Wireless Technology Laboratory (WissTek), Department of Communications, School of Electrical and Computation Engineering, State University of Campinas, DECOM/FEEC/UNICAMP, PO Box 6101, 13083-852 Campinas, SP, Brazil

SUMMARY

Exact, closed-form and general expressions of the marginal and joint moments as well as of the correlation coefficient of the instantaneous powers of two Ricean signals are derived. All statistics are expressed as finite sums of simple functions of the model parameters. The model includes environments where the Ricean factor and the mean power of one signal are different from their counterparts of the other signal. Some plots illustrate the generalised power correlation coefficient provided in this work. Coherence parameters are derived and practical values for system design are suggested. Copyright © 2006 AEIT.

1. INTRODUCTION

In wireless communications, the signal envelope fluctuates randomly throughout the propagation environment in a fast fading condition. This fluctuation is caused essentially by the multipath phenomenon, in which the signal reaching the receiver is composed of a large number of scattered waves. The classical distribution used to describe the envelope of the multipath signal is the Rayleigh one [1]. For some physical configurations, besides the scattered waves, the signal envelope is also influenced by a line-of-sight (or direct) wave. In these cases, the Rice distribution [2] constitutes the appropriate model [1].

Different statistics concerning the Ricean model have already been reported in the literature. In particular, References [3, 4] present the correlation coefficient of two instantaneous powers (or squared envelopes). In Reference [4], both wide-band and narrow-band signals are analysed, and it is observed that the narrow-band model is sufficient for computing the space correlation coefficient within the

range of 20% of the carrier frequency. We note that this is the most common situation found in wireless communication scenario. In this work, we provide the space-frequency correlation coefficient of two *generalised* instantaneous powers of narrow-band signals. We consider both stationary[†] and nonstationary environments. As an intermediate step, marginal and joint moments of arbitrary positive integer orders of the instantaneous powers are derived.

As is widely known, the envelope correlation coefficient plays a crucial role in attaining the coherence distance (or time) and the coherence bandwidth of the signal envelope. These coherence parameters are used as reference, respectively, for the space (or time) separation and for the frequency separation in diversity systems. For Ricean signals, these parameters will be evaluated through the power correlation coefficient, which constitutes

[†] In this work, the term *stationary environment* designates the environment where the Ricean factor and the signal mean power of one signal are equal to their counterparts of the other signal.

* Correspondence to: José Ricardo Mendes, Wireless Technology Laboratory (WissTek), Department of Communications, School of Electrical and Computation Engineering, State University of Campinas, DECOM/FEEC/UNICAMP, PO Box 6101, 13083-852 Campinas, SP, Brazil.
E-mail: ricardo@wisstek.org

Contract/grant sponsor: FAPESP (The State of São Paulo Research Foundation).

an accurate approximation to the envelope correlation coefficient [3].

This work is structured as follows. In Section 2, the Ricean model is introduced. In Section 3, the generalised power statistics of two signals are derived. In Section 4, some applications of the results provided in this work are carried out. In Section 5, the main conclusions are summarised.

2. SIGNAL MODEL

Consider two narrow-band signals, S_1 and S_2 , transmitted at different frequencies and detected at distinct points. The complex representation of each signal S_i is

$$Z_i = R_i \exp(I\Psi_i) = X_i + IY_i \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (1)$$

where I is the imaginary unit, R_i is the signal envelope, Ψ_i is the signal phase and X_i and Y_i are, respectively, the in-phase and quadrature signal components. In the Ricean model, X_i and Y_i are uncorrelated variates with identical variances (σ_i^2), X_1, Y_1, X_2 and Y_2 are jointly Gaussian, and the mean of Z_i is

$$m_{Z_i} = m_i \exp(I\varphi_i) = m_{X_i} + Im_{Y_i} \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (2)$$

The parameter σ_i^2 stems from the multipath waves of S_i , whereas m_{Z_i} , from the direct wave of S_i . Finally, we define

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\text{Cov}\{X_1, X_2\}}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = \frac{\text{Cov}\{Y_1, Y_2\}}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} \quad (3a)$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{\text{Cov}\{X_1, Y_2\}}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = -\frac{\text{Cov}\{Y_1, X_2\}}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} \quad (3b)$$

where $\text{Cov}\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the covariance operator. The coefficients μ_1 and μ_2 usually depend on the distance between the reception points, on the frequency difference between the transmitted signals and on the statistical behaviour of the angles of arrival and the times of arrival of the scattered waves [5–7].

In the present model, X_i and Y_i , have arbitrary means, namely m_{X_i} and m_{Y_i} (Equation (2)). However, in calculating the joint moment of the instantaneous powers, it is more appropriate to define new Gaussian random variables, namely \hat{X}_i and \hat{Y}_i , such that \hat{Y}_i has zero mean. To this end, we define \hat{X}_i and \hat{Y}_i as

$$\hat{X}_i = X_i \cos(\varphi_i) + Y_i \sin(\varphi_i) \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (4a)$$

$$\hat{Y}_i = Y_i \cos(\varphi_i) - X_i \sin(\varphi_i) \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (4b)$$

where φ_i is the phase of the direct wave of S_i (Equation (2)).

Because \hat{X}_i and \hat{Y}_i are linear combinations of X_i and Y_i , also the variates $\hat{X}_1, \hat{Y}_1, \hat{X}_2$ and \hat{Y}_2 are jointly Gaussian. Moreover, using Equation (4) and the statistics of the original Gaussians, the means, variances and covariances of the new Gaussians are

$$E\{\hat{X}_i\} = m_i \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (5a)$$

$$E\{\hat{Y}_i\} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (5b)$$

$$\text{Var}\{\hat{X}_i\} = \text{Var}\{\hat{Y}_i\} = \sigma_i^2 \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (5c)$$

$$\text{Cov}\{\hat{X}_i, \hat{Y}_i\} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (5d)$$

$$\text{Cov}\{\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2\} = \text{Cov}\{\hat{Y}_1, \hat{Y}_2\} = \mu_c\sigma_1\sigma_2 \quad (5e)$$

$$\text{Cov}\{\hat{X}_1, \hat{Y}_2\} = -\text{Cov}\{\hat{Y}_1, \hat{X}_2\} = \mu_s\sigma_1\sigma_2 \quad (5f)$$

where $E\{\cdot\}$ is the expectation operator, $\text{Var}\{\cdot\}$ is the variance operator, and[‡]

$$\mu_c = \rho \cos(\phi + \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \quad (5g)$$

$$\mu_s = \rho \sin(\phi + \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \quad (5h)$$

$$\rho = \sqrt{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} \quad (5i)$$

$$\phi = \arg\{\mu_1 + I\mu_2\} \quad (5j)$$

Note that also \hat{X}_i and \hat{Y}_i are uncorrelated (Eq. (5d)), and that $R_i^2 = X_i^2 + Y_i^2 = \hat{X}_i^2 + \hat{Y}_i^2$.

Thus, the joint probability density function (JPDF) of $\hat{X}_1, \hat{Y}_1, \hat{X}_2$ and \hat{Y}_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{\hat{X}_1, \hat{Y}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{Y}_2}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{y}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{y}_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2(1-\rho^2)\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left[\frac{(\hat{x}_1 - m_1)^2 + \hat{y}_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{(\hat{x}_2 - m_2)^2 + \hat{y}_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} - 2\mu_c \frac{(\hat{x}_1 - m_1)(\hat{x}_2 - m_2) + \hat{y}_1\hat{y}_2}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - 2\mu_s \frac{(\hat{x}_1 - m_1)\hat{y}_2 - \hat{y}_1(\hat{x}_2 - m_2)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} \right]\right\} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

The present model is general and encompasses as special cases: stationary environments, for which $m_1 = m_2$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$; and the Rayleigh distribution, for which $m_i = 0$.

[‡] In this work, $\arg\{\cdot\}$ denotes the argument of the complex number enclosed within.

3. GENERALISED POWER STATISTICS

In this section, the marginal and joint moments of arbitrary positive integer orders of the instantaneous powers are provided. With the purpose of expressing these statistics in more compact forms, we shall present them in terms of the normalised instantaneous powers \tilde{W}_i (or normalised squared envelopes \tilde{R}_i^2), which are given by

$$\tilde{W}_i = \tilde{R}_i^2 = \frac{R_i^2}{E\{R_i^2\}} = \frac{\hat{X}_i^2 + \hat{Y}_i^2}{m_i^2 + 2\sigma_i^2} \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (7)$$

3.1. Marginal power moment

The marginal moment $E\{\tilde{W}_i^\nu\}$ of the Ricean model, as well-known in the literature [8], is given by

$$E\{\tilde{W}_i^\nu\} = \frac{\exp(-k_i)\Gamma(\nu+1) {}_1F_1(\nu+1, 1, k_i)}{(1+k_i)^\nu} \quad (8)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function [9, eq. 8.310.1], ${}_1F_1(\cdot)$ is the hypergeometric function [9, eq. 9.14.1], and $k_i = m_i^2/(2\sigma_i^2)$ is the Ricean factor.

In this work, as our interest are the cases in which ν is an integer n , we provide an alternative expression for that statistic, which is

$$E\{\tilde{W}_i^n\} = \frac{1}{(1+k_i)^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^j \left[\frac{(-1)^{j-l}(n+l)!}{(j-l)!(l!)^2} k_i^j \right] \quad (9)$$

The main advantage of Equation (9) with respect to Equation (8) is the absence of the hypergeometric function, which is generically expressed as an infinite sum of terms. Furthermore, Equation (9) is computationally more efficient.

3.2. Joint power moment

In order to obtain the joint power moment, it is convenient to calculate the ratio

$$C_R(\mathbf{j}_2, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \triangleq \frac{E\left\{\hat{X}_1^{2j_1} \hat{Y}_1^{2n_1-2j_1} \hat{X}_2^{2j_2} \hat{Y}_2^{2n_2-2j_2}\right\}}{\sigma_1^{2n_1} \sigma_2^{2n_2}} \quad (10)$$

where n_1, n_2, j_1 and j_2 are positive integers satisfying $j_i \leq n_i$, $i = 1, 2$, $\mathbf{j}_2 = [j_1, j_2]$, $\mathbf{n} = [n_1, n_2]$, $\mathbf{k} = [k_1, k_2] = [m_1^2/(2\sigma_1^2), m_2^2/(2\sigma_2^2)]$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = [\mu_c, \mu_s]$.

The integral representation of this coefficient is

$$C_R(\mathbf{j}_2, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^{2n_1} \sigma_2^{2n_2}} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{x}_1^{2j_1} \hat{y}_1^{2n_1-2j_1} \hat{x}_2^{2j_2} \hat{y}_2^{2n_2-2j_2} \times f_{\hat{X}_{1,2}\hat{Y}_{1,2}}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{y}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{y}_2) d\hat{x}_1 d\hat{y}_1 d\hat{x}_2 d\hat{y}_2 \quad (11)$$

where the JPDF $f_{\hat{X}_{1,2}\hat{Y}_{1,2}}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{y}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{y}_2)$ is given in Equation (6). After replacing that JPDF into Equation (11) and performing a long procedure of manipulation, the authors have solved such an integral in an exact manner [10], so that

$$C_R(\mathbf{j}_2, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 2^{j_1+j_2} k_1^{j_1} k_2^{j_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{2j_1} \sum_{j_4=0}^{2j_2} \left[\binom{2j_1}{j_3} \binom{2j_2}{j_4} \times \left(2^{j_3+j_4} k_1^{j_3} k_2^{j_4} \right)^{-1/2} C_G(\mathbf{j}_4, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right] \quad (12a)$$

where $\mathbf{j}_4 = [j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4]$, and the coefficient $C_G(\mathbf{j}_4, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ is given by[§]

$$C_G(\mathbf{j}_4, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = j_3!(2n_1 - 2j_1)! \mu_c^{2n_1-2j_1} \mu_s^{j_3} \left(\frac{\mu_c}{\mu_s} \right)^{j_4} \times \sum_{l_1=0}^{\lfloor j_3/2 \rfloor} \sum_{l_2=0}^{j_3-2l_1} \sum_{l_3=0}^{n_1-j_1-l_1-l_3} \sum_{l_4=\lceil (j_4+l_2)/2 \rceil}^{\lfloor (j_4+l_2)/2 \rfloor} \left[\frac{(-1)^{j_4+l_2}}{2^{l_1+l_3} l_1! l_2! l_3!} \times \frac{[2(n_1+n_2-j_1-j_2-l_1-l_3-l_4)+j_3+j_4-1]!}{(j_3-2l_1-l_2)!(2n_1+j_4+l_2-2j_1-2l_3-2l_4)!} \times \frac{(2l_4-1)!!(1-\rho^2)^{l_1+l_3}}{(2l_4-j_4-l_2)! \mu_c^{2l_1} \mu_s^{2l_3}} \left(\frac{\mu_c}{\mu_s} \right)^{2l_2-2l_4} \right] \quad (12b)$$

for $(j_3 + j_4)$ even and by

$$C_G(\mathbf{j}_4, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 0 \quad (12c)$$

for $(j_3 + j_4)$ odd.

Now, using Equations (7) and (10), the joint moment of the instantaneous powers can be expressed in terms of the coefficient $C_R(\mathbf{j}_2, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ as

$$E\{\tilde{W}_1^{n_1} \tilde{W}_2^{n_2}\} = \frac{1}{2^{n_1+n_2} (1+k_1)^{n_1} (1+k_2)^{n_2}} \times \sum_{j_1=0}^{n_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{n_2} \left[\binom{n_1}{j_1} \binom{n_2}{j_2} C_R(\mathbf{j}_2, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right] \quad (13)$$

where n_1 and n_2 are positive integers.

[§] In this work, $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to the number enclosed within, and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to the number enclosed within and $(2n-1)!! = (2n-1) \cdot (2n-3) \cdot \dots \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1$.

3.3. Power correlation coefficient

By definition, the correlation coefficient of $\tilde{W}_1^{n_1}$ and $\tilde{W}_2^{n_2}$ is

$$\delta_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{\text{Cov}\{\tilde{W}_1^{n_1}, \tilde{W}_2^{n_2}\}}{\sqrt{\text{Var}\{\tilde{W}_1^{n_1}\}\text{Var}\{\tilde{W}_2^{n_2}\}}} \quad (14a)$$

where

$$\text{Cov}\{\tilde{W}_1^{n_1}, \tilde{W}_2^{n_2}\} = E\{\tilde{W}_1^{n_1} \tilde{W}_2^{n_2}\} - E\{\tilde{W}_1^{n_1}\}E\{\tilde{W}_2^{n_2}\} \quad (14b)$$

$$\text{Var}\{\tilde{W}_i^{n_i}\} = E\{\tilde{W}_i^{2n_i}\} - E^2\{\tilde{W}_i^{n_i}\} \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (14c)$$

In Equation (14), the joint moment $E\{\tilde{W}_1^{n_1} \tilde{W}_2^{n_2}\}$ is found through Equations (12) and (13), whereas the marginal moments are obtained directly from Equation (9). Since n_1 and n_2 are arbitrary positive integers, the power correlation coefficient provided here is rather general. For the particular case in which $n_1 = n_2 = 1$, Equation (14a) simplifies to

$$\delta_{1,1} = \frac{\rho^2 + 2\mu_c \sqrt{k_1 k_2}}{\sqrt{(1 + 2k_1)(1 + 2k_2)}} \quad (15)$$

We finalise this section observing that the correlation coefficient of $W_1^{n_1}$ and $W_2^{n_2}$ is equal to the correlation coefficient of $\tilde{W}_1^{n_1}$ and $\tilde{W}_2^{n_2}$.

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we first provide expressions for μ_c and μ_s . Next, we investigate, in both space domain and frequency domain, the power correlation coefficient of the Ricean model for $k_1 = k_2 = k$ (stationary environments) and $n_1 = n_2 = n$. Then, we analyse the coherence parameters of the Ricean model. Finally, we propose approximations for the correlation coefficient of noninteger orders of the instantaneous powers.

4.1. Gaussian correlation parameters μ_c and μ_s

For the multipath phenomenon, we shall assume the physical model described by Jakes [5], which provides

$$\mu_1 = \frac{E\{D(\Theta) \cos(\beta d \cos(\Theta) - \Delta\omega T)\}}{E\{D(\Theta)\}} \quad (16a)$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{E\{D(\Theta) \sin(\beta d \cos(\Theta) - \Delta\omega T)\}}{E\{D(\Theta)\}} \quad (16b)$$

where $D(\cdot)$ is the horizontal directivity pattern of the receiving antenna, β is the phase constant, d is the distance between the reception points, $\Delta\omega$ is the angular frequency

difference between the transmitted signals, and Θ and T are random variables that designate, respectively, the angles of arrival and the propagation delay times of the multipath waves. For a mobile receiver, $d = v\tau$, where v is the mobile velocity, and τ is the time.

In the Jakes's model [5], the variate $\beta d \cos(\Theta) - \Delta\omega T$ represents the phase difference between each multipath wave of S_1 and its counterpart of S_2 . Similarly, we express the phase difference between the direct waves of S_1 and S_2 as

$$\varphi_2 - \varphi_1 = \beta d \cos(\theta_d) - \Delta\omega t_d \quad (17)$$

where θ_d is the angle of arrival of the direct wave, and t_d is the propagation delay time of the direct wave. Both θ_d and t_d are assumed deterministic.

From Equations (5g-5j), (16) and (17)

$$\mu_c = \frac{E\{D(\Theta) \cos[\beta d (\cos(\Theta) - \cos(\theta_d)) - \Delta\omega T]\}}{E\{D(\Theta)\}} \quad (18a)$$

$$\mu_s = \frac{E\{D(\Theta) \sin[\beta d (\cos(\Theta) - \cos(\theta_d)) - \Delta\omega T]\}}{E\{D(\Theta)\}} \quad (18b)$$

where $T = T - t_d$. Taking the instant of arrival of the direct wave as time reference, T is the time of arrival of the scattered waves. As the direct wave travels through the shortest path between the transmitter and the receiver, $T \geq t_d$, and hence $T \geq 0$. The expressions in Equation (18) can be applied to any $D(\cdot)$ and any JPDF of Θ and T .

4.2. Numerical results

In this subsection, we shall investigate the space correlation coefficient $\delta_{n,n}(d)$ and the frequency correlation coefficient $\delta_{n,n}(\Delta\omega)$ for stationary environments ($k_1 = k_2 = k$). With the intention of maintaining compatibility with the results already available for the Rayleigh case [5], we shall consider

$$D(\theta) = 1 \quad (19a)$$

$$p_{\Theta, T}(\theta, t) = p_{\Theta}(\theta)p_T(t) \quad (19b)$$

$$p_{\Theta}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \quad 0 < \theta \leq 2\pi \quad (19c)$$

$$p_T(t) = \frac{1}{\bar{T}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\bar{T}}\right) \quad t > 0 \quad (19d)$$

where \bar{T} is the time delay spread.

Replacing Equation (19) into Equation (18) yields

$$\mu_c = \frac{J_0(\beta d) [\cos [\beta d \cos (\theta_d)] - \Delta \omega \bar{T} \sin [\beta d \cos (\theta_d)]]}{1 + (\Delta \omega \bar{T})^2} \quad (20a)$$

$$\mu_s = -\frac{J_0(\beta d) [\Delta \omega \bar{T} \cos [\beta d \cos (\theta_d)] + \sin [\beta d \cos (\theta_d)]]}{1 + (\Delta \omega \bar{T})^2} \quad (20b)$$

Throughout the following analysis, we shall denote

- $\delta_{n,n}(d)$ ($\Delta \omega = 0$): generalised space correlation coefficient of the instantaneous powers (or squared envelopes);
- $\delta_{n,n}(\Delta \omega)$ ($d = 0$): generalised frequency correlation coefficient of the instantaneous powers (or squared envelopes).

Figure 1 illustrates the influence of k on $\delta_{1,1}(d)$ for $\theta_d = 90^\circ$. It can be noted that, in general, the values of the modulus of $\delta_{1,1}(d)$ increases with k . This shows that the line-of-sight wave strengthens the space dependency of two signals. Figure 2 presents the influence of θ_d on $\delta_{1,1}(d)$ for $k = 1$. As it can be seen, θ_d affects significantly the behaviour of the space correlation coefficient. In both Figures 1 and 2, $\delta_{1,1}(d)$ assumes null values at the same points.

Concerning the frequency correlation coefficient, from $d = 0$, Equation(5i) and Equation (20), it follows that $\mu_c = \rho^2$. In this case, substituting this relation and $k_1 = k_2 = k$ into Equation (15), yields $\delta_{1,1}(\Delta \omega) = \rho^2$. Therefore, the frequency correlation coefficient $\delta_{1,1}(\Delta \omega)$ is independent of k . Furthermore, since θ_d appears in the coefficients μ_c and μ_s only when there is a space separation ($d \neq 0$), θ_d has no effect on $\delta_{n,n}(\Delta \omega)$ independently of n .




Figure 1. Influence of k on the space correlation coefficient for $n = 1$ and $\theta_d = 90^\circ$.




Figure 2. Influence of θ_d on the space correlation coefficient for $n = 1$ and $k = 1$.

For different values of n , Figures 3 and 4 show $\delta_{n,n}(d)$ ($k = 1$ and $\theta_d = 90^\circ$) and $\delta_{n,n}(\Delta \omega)$ ($k = 1$) respectively. Clearly, the correlation coefficients increases as the integer n decreases.

4.3. Coherence parameters

It has been shown in Reference [3] that, for the Ricean model, the power correlation coefficient $\delta_{1,1}$ is an accurate approximation to the envelope correlation coefficient $\delta_{0.5,0.5}$, statistic from which the coherence distance (or time) and the coherence bandwidth of the signal envelope are extracted. Based on this, the coherence parameters of the Ricean model can be well-evaluated directly from $\delta_{1,1}$.

- (1) *Coherence Distance (or Time)*: The coherence distance d_c (or time τ_c) is defined as the space (or time) separation




Figure 3. Influence of n on the space correlation coefficient for $k = 1$ and $\theta_d = 90^\circ$.




Figure 4. Influence of n on the frequency correlation coefficient for $k = 1$.

above which the envelope correlation coefficient is below a certain value. For the Rayleigh model, a safe choice for the coherence distance is $d_c = 0.5\lambda$ (λ is the wavelength) [5], since $\forall d \geq 0.5\lambda, \delta_{0.5,0.5}(d) < 0.2$. Now, turning our attention to Figure 1, it can be seen that, for the Ricean case with $k \geq 1$, such a property no longer holds: above $d = 0.5\lambda$ ($\beta d = \pi$), the correlation coefficient still assumes significant values. If the 0.2 threshold is used, then the safest assumption is to have βd_c greater than several units of π , say $\beta d_c = 6\pi$. This shows that, in order to ensure a reasonable decorrelation between two Ricean signals, the distance between their reception points must exceed 3λ (and not 0.5λ as for the Rayleigh case).

- (2) *Coherence bandwidth*: The coherence bandwidth $\Delta\omega_c$ is defined as the frequency separation above which the envelope correlation coefficient is below a certain value. For the Rayleigh case, $\Delta\omega_c$ is chosen so that $\forall \Delta\omega \geq \Delta\omega_c, \delta_{0.5,0.5}(\Delta\omega) < 0.5$ [5]. Since $\delta_{1,1}(\Delta\omega) \approx \delta_{0.5,0.5}(\Delta\omega)$ is independent of k , the coherence bandwidth of the Ricean signal is identical to the coherence bandwidth of the Rayleigh signal.

4.4. Non-integer orders of the instantaneous powers

Next, we propose an approximation to the correlation coefficient of non-integer orders of the instantaneous power (or squared envelope).

From Figures 3 and 4, it can be seen that $\delta_{n,n}$ is close to $\delta_{n+1,n+1}$. Thus, for a non-integer ν satisfying $n < \nu < n + 1$ ($n \geq 1$ integer), the correlation coefficient of W_1^ν and W_2^ν , namely $\delta_{\nu,\nu}$, can be well-approximated by the interpolation

$$\delta_{\nu,\nu} \doteq (\nu - n)(\delta_{n+1,n+1} - \delta_{n,n}) + \delta_{n,n} \quad \nu > 1 \quad (21)$$

5. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have derived *exact* and *closed-form* expressions for the marginal and joint moments and for the correlation coefficient of arbitrary positive integer orders of the instantaneous powers (or squared envelopes) of two Ricean signals. All provided statistics have been expressed as *finite* sums of *simple* functions of the model parameters.

Departing from the physical model described by Jakes, the Gaussian correlation parameters of the Ricean model have been expressed in terms of the distance between the receptions points and of the frequency difference between the transmitted signals. Then, the generalised power correlation coefficient, as well as the coherence parameters, has been investigated in both space domain and frequency domain. It has been observed that the coherence distance (or time) increases with the Ricean factor k , and that the coherence bandwidth is independent of k . Moreover, the generalised power correlation coefficient increases as the integer order n decreases. Finally, approximations to the correlation coefficient for non-integer orders of the instantaneous powers have been proposed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper has been developed under a research project supported by FAPESP (process 04/05031-2).

REFERENCES

1. Clarke RH. A statistical theory of mobile-radio reception. *Bell Systems Technical Journal* 1968; **47**:957–1000.
2. Rice SO. Statistical properties of a sine wave plus random noise. *Bell Systems Technical Journal* 1948; **27**(1):109–157.
3. Karasawa Y, Iwai H. Modeling of signal envelope correlation of line-of-sight fading with applications to frequency correlation analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Communications* 1994; **42**(6):2201–2203.
4. Karasawa Y, Iwai H. Formulation of spatial correlation statistics in nakagami-rice fading environments. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* 2000; **48**(1):12–18.
5. Jakes WC. *Microwave Mobile Communications*. Wiley: New York, 1997.
6. Lee WCY. *Mobile Communications Engineering*. McGraw-Hill: New York, USA, 1997.
7. Yacoub MD. *Foundations of Mobile Radio Engineering*, 1st edn. CRC Press: Boca Raton, USA, 1993.
8. Proakis JG. *Digital Communications*, 3rd edn. McGraw-Hill Series International Editions: New York, USA, 1995.
9. Gradshteyn IS, Ryzhik IM. *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, 6th edn. Academic Press: Boston, USA, 2000.
10. Mendes JR, Yacoub MD. Integer order gaussian moments. Wireless Technology Laboratory, <http://www.wisstek.org/reports.htm>, Tech. Rep. 01, February 2006.

AUTHORS' BIOGRAPHIES

José Ricardo Mendes was born in Brazil in 1979. He received his B.S.E.E. degree (First Class Honour) from the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering of the State University of Campinas, UNICAMP, Brazil, in 2003. He is currently a M.Sc. student at the University of Campinas, Brazil. His main research interest is the statistical characterisation of fading channels.

Michel Daoud Yacoub was born in Brazil in 1955. He received his B.S.E.E. and the M.Sc. degrees from the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering of the State University of Campinas, UNICAMP, Brazil, in 1978 and 1983, respectively, and his Ph.D. from the University of Essex, U.K., in 1988. From 1978 to 1985, he worked as a Research Specialist at the Research and Development Centre of Telebrás, Brazil, in the development of the Tropicó digital exchange family. He joined the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, UNICAMP, in 1989, where he is presently a full professor. He consults for several operating companies and industries in the wireless communications area. He is the author of *Foundations of Mobile Radio Engineering* (Boca Raton, FL: CRC, 1993), *Wireless Technology: Protocols, Standards, and Techniques* (Boca Raton, FL: CRC, 2001) and the co-author of *Telecommunications: Principles and Trends* (São Paulo, Brasil: Erica, 1997, in Portuguese). He holds two patents. His general research interests include wireless communications.

Daniel Benevides da Costa was born in Brazil in 1981. He received his B.S.E.E. degree from the Military Engineering Institute, IME, Brazil, in 2003. Now, he is a M.Sc. student at the University of Campinas, Brazil. His research interest includes fading channels and wireless communications in general.